

Description of Quantum Systems by Random Matrix Ensembles of High Dimensions:
ICSSUR'6 Poster Session

Maciej M. Duras

Institute of Physics, Cracow University of Technology, ulica Podchorążych 1, 30-084
Cracow, Poland

Email: mduras @ riad.usk.pk.edu.pl

"ICSSUR'6, Sixth International Conference on Squeezed States and Uncertainty Relations"; 24th May 1999 - 29th May 1999; Università degli Studi di Napoli 'Federico II', Naples, Italy (1999).

Section: h

1 Abstract

The new Theorem on location of maximum of probability density functions of dimensionless second difference of the three adjacent energy levels for N -dimensional Gaussian orthogonal ensemble $GOE(N)$, N -dimensional Gaussian unitary ensemble $GUE(N)$, N -dimensional Gaussian symplectic ensemble $GSE(N)$, and Poisson ensemble PE , is formulated: *The probability density functions of the dimensionless second difference of the three adjacent energy levels take on maximum at the origin for the following ensembles: $GOE(N)$, $GUE(N)$, $GSE(N)$, and PE , where $N \geq 3$.* The notions of *level homogenization with level clustering* and *level homogenization with level repulsion* are introduced.

2 Summary

Division of many complex N -level quantum systems exhibiting universal behaviour depending only on symmetry of Hamiltonian matrix of the system:

- Gaussian orthogonal ensemble $GOE(N)$
- Gaussian unitary ensemble $GUE(N)$
- Gaussian symplectic ensemble $GSE(N)$.

The Gaussian ensembles are used in study of quantum systems whose classical-limit analogs are chaotic. The Poisson ensemble PE (Poisson random-sequence spectrum) is composed of uncorrelated and randomly distributed energy levels and it describes quantum systems whose classical-limit analogs are integrable. The standard statistical measure is Wigner's distribution of the i th nearest neighbour spacing:

$$s_i = \Delta^1 E_i = E_{i+1} - E_i, \quad i=1, \dots, N-1. \quad (1)$$

For i th second difference (the i -th second differential quotient) of the three adjacent energy levels:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^2 E_i &= \Delta^1 E_{i+1} - \Delta^1 E_i = \\ &= E_i + E_{i+2} - 2E_{i+1}, \quad i=1, \dots, N-2,\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

we calculated distributions for GOE(3), GUE(3), GSE(3), and PE Refs [1, 2, 3].

We formulate the following

Theorem: *The probability density functions of the dimensionless second difference of the three adjacent energy levels take on maximum at the origin for the following ensembles: GOE(N), GUE(N), GSE(N), and PE, where $N \geq 3$.*

We present the idea of proof. For Gaussian ensembles it can be shown that second difference distributions are symmetrical functions for $N \geq 3$. Hence, the first derivatives of the distributions at the origin vanish. For Poisson ensemble the second difference distribution is Laplace one for $N \geq 3$. Therefore, the distribution takes on maximum at zero.

The inferences are the following:

- The quantum systems show tendency towards the homogeneity of levels (equal distance between adjacent levels). We call it *homogeneization of energy levels*.
- There are two generic homogeneizations: the first is typical for Gaussian ensembles, the second one for Poisson ensemble. For the former ensembles we define *level homogenization with level repulsion* as follows. Energy levels are so distributed that the situation that both the spacings and second difference vanish:

$$\Delta^2 E_i = s_i = s_{i+1} = 0,\tag{3}$$

is the most probable one. For the latter ensemble *level homogenization with level clustering* is described below. Now it is the most probable that only the second difference is equal to zero but the two nearest neighbour spacings are nonzero:

$$\Delta^2 E_i = 0, s_i = s_{i+1}, s_i \neq 0.\tag{4}$$

- The assumption of non-zero value by the second difference is less probable than the assumption of zero value. Equivalently, the inequality of the two nearest neighbour spacings is less probable than their equality.
- The predictions of the Theorem are corroborated by numerical and experimental data Refs [1, 2, 3].

The theorem could be extended to other ensembles, *e.g.* circular ones, and it is a direction of future development.

We present on Fig. 1 the second difference probability densities for Gaussian and Poisson ensembles. On Figs 2, 3 we depict comparison between second difference probability densities and experimental nuclear data of ^{181}Ta and ^{167}Er belonging to chaotic systems. We plot these comparison for random sequence spectrum on Fig. 4. Finally, we show it for simulation of GOE(2000) on Fig. 5.

References

- [1] M. M. Duras and K. Sokalski, Phys. Rev. E **54**, 3142 (1996).
- [2] Maciej M. Duras, *Finite difference and finite element distributions in statistical theory of energy levels in quantum systems*, Ph. D. thesis, Jagellonian University, Cracow, July 1996.
- [3] M. M. Duras and K. Sokalski, *Physica* **D125**, 260 (1999).

3 Figure captions

- Figure 1 The probability density function of the dimensionless second difference for Poisson ensemble (P: medium dashed line), for GOE(3) (O: solid line), for GUE(3) (U: medium dashed line), and for GSE(3) (S: short dashed line). The value of x is the ratio of second difference to the mean spacing for GOE(3), GUE(3), GSE(3), and Poisson ensemble, respectively.
- Figure 2 The probability density function of the second difference for GOE(3) (solid line), for Poisson ensemble (dashed line), and for ^{181}Ta (histogram).
- Figure 3 The probability density function of the second difference $f_Z^{GOE(3)}$ for GOE(3) (thin dashed line), for Poisson ensemble f_Z^I (dashed line), and for ^{167}Er (histogram).
- Figure 4 The probability density function of the second difference $f_Z^{GOE(3)}$ for GOE(3) (thin dashed line), for Poisson ensemble f_Z^I (dashed line), and for random-sequence spectrum (histogram).
- Figure 5 The probability density function of the dimensionless second difference for the GOE(3) (solid line), for the integrable system (dashed line), and for GOE(2000) (histogram).